

## SCIENTIFIC PAPERS

**Moran sets in complete metric space\***

QU Chengqin (瞿成勤), SU Weiyi (苏维宜) and XU Yong (许勇)

(Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China)

Received December 17, 1999; revised February 23, 2000

**Abstract** The Hausdorff dimensions and packing dimensions of Moran sets in the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space are presented, which generalizes the results of Hua and Rao.

**Keywords:**  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space, Moran set, Hausdorff dimension, packing dimension.

Supposing that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space,  $I \subset X$  a compact set with nonempty interior in  $X$  (for convenience, we assume that the diameter of  $I$  is 1). Let  $\{n_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  be a sequence of positive integers, and  $\{c_{k,j}\}_{1 \leq j \leq n_k}$  a sequence of real numbers. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$  the collection of Moran sets determined by  $I$ ,  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{c_{k,j}\}$  (for the definition of Moran set, see ref. [1]). Define  $s_k (k \geq 1)$  by

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (c_{i,1}^{s_i} + \cdots + c_{i,n_i}^{s_i}) = 1.$$

and let  $s_* = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k$ ,  $s^* = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k$ .

If  $X$  is a Euclidean space, and  $E \in \mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$ , under some special conditions on the sequences  $\{c_{k,j}\}$ , Hua and Rao separately obtained the following results:

$$\dim E = s_*, \quad \text{Dim} E = s^*,$$

where  $\dim E$  and  $\text{Dim} E$  denote the Hausdorff and packing dimensions respectively. If there is not any limitation on the sequence  $\{c_{k,j}\}$ , then the above results are not true (see, for example, homogeneous Cantor set and partial homogeneous Cantor set in ref. [2]).

On the other hand, if  $X$  is a general metric space, although  $\{c_{k,j}\}$  satisfies the conditions given in refs. [1, 3, 4], the above results are not true either (see example 1 in ref. [5]).

In this paper, we assume that  $X$  is the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space (of course, Euclidean space  $R^n$  is  $(C_n, n)$ -homogeneous for some  $C_n$ . For the definition of  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space, see ref. [6]), and give no limitation on  $\{c_{k,j}\}$ . We will determine the Hausdorff and packing dimensions of Moran sets in  $X$ . Our main results are as follows.

\* Project supported by the National Climbing Project (Grant No. 92J01090).

**Theorem 1.** Let  $X$  be the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space, then for any  $E \in \mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$ , we have  $s_* - sv \leq \dim E \leq s_*$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space, then for any  $E \in \mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$ , we have  $s^* \leq \dim E \leq s^*(1 + \eta)$ , where  $v = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg d_k}{\lg M_k}$ ,  $\eta = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg d_k}{\lg M_{k-1}}$ ,  $M_k = \max\{ |I_\sigma| : \sigma \in D_k \}$  and  $d_k = \min\{c_{k,j} : 1 \leq j \leq n_k\}$ .

*Remark 1.* By the above theorems and the conditions given in refs. [1, 3, 4], it is immediately known that the results in refs. [1, 3, 4] are also true in the case where  $X$  is the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space, hence these results generalize the results in refs. [1, 3, 4] from the Euclidean space to the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space.

*Remark 2.* The packing dimension of Theorem 2 is defined by the radius-based packing measure, for the definitions and properties of the radius packing measures and radius packing dimensions, one can refer to reference [7].

## 1 Proof of Theorem 1

To prove Theorem 1, the following lemmas will be used.

**Lemma 1**<sup>[6]</sup>. Let  $X$  be a complete  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space. Then for any  $t > s$ , there exist a non-degenerate Borel measure  $\mu$  and a constant  $c \geq 1$  such that  $0 < \mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ , and

$$\mu(B(x, \lambda r)) \leq c\lambda^t \mu(B(x, r)) \quad (1)$$

for any  $x \in X$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

Let  $A \subset X$ .  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(A)$  denotes the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $A$ . The  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $A$  determined by the set  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(A) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum |U_i|^\alpha : A \subset \bigcup_i U_i, U_i \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } |U_i| < \delta \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined in reference [1].

**Lemma 2.** Let  $X$  be the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space,  $E \in \mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$ . Then for any  $\beta > v$  and  $t > s$ , there exists a constant  $p > 0$  such that

$$p \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha + t\beta}(E) \leq \mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(E).$$

*Proof.* The second inequality is obvious. Now, for any  $\beta > \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg d_k}{\lg M_k}$ , there exists  $k_0 > 0$  such that for any  $k > k_0$ ,

$$\beta > \log_{M_k} d_k. \quad (2)$$

Let  $\{U_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  be any  $\delta$ -covering of  $E$ ,  $\sup\{|U_i|\} < \min\{|I_\sigma| : \sigma \in D_{k_0}\}$ , and  $U_i \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $b_i = |U_i|$ . Since  $I \neq \emptyset$ , there exist  $x \in I$  (open set) and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $U(x, \varepsilon) \subset I$ , where  $U(x, \varepsilon)$  denotes the open ball with center  $x$  and radius  $\varepsilon$ . For any  $i \in N$ , set

$$A(U_i) = \{I_\sigma : |I_\sigma| \leq b_i < |I_{\sigma^*}|, I_\sigma \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\},$$

where  $\sigma^*$  is obtained by deleting the last letter of  $\sigma$ . Put

$$D(U_i, k) = \{\sigma \in D_k : I_\sigma \in A(U_i)\},$$

$$\Delta_i = \bigcup_{k \geq k_0} D(U_i, k).$$

For the given  $U_i$ , the fact that  $|I_\sigma| \rightarrow 0$  ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) implies that there exists  $k_1 \geq k_0$  such that for any  $k > k_1$ ,  $D(U_i, k) = \emptyset$ ; therefore the above union is a finite one. Set

$$N(U_i, k) = \# D(U_i, k).$$

Then  $\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} N(U_i, k)$  is the finite sum, and the cardinality of  $\Delta_i$  satisfies  $\#\Delta_i < \infty$ . By the definition of  $\Delta_i$ , we know that for any  $\tau^1$  and  $\tau^2 \in \Delta_i$ , there exists no  $\sigma$  such that  $\tau^1 = \tau^2 * \sigma$ , or  $\tau^2 = \tau^1 * \sigma$ ; therefore the open balls  $\{S_\sigma(U(x, \varepsilon))\}_{\sigma \in \Delta_i} = \{U(S_\sigma(x), \varepsilon_\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in \Delta_i}$  are pairwise disjoint, where  $c_\sigma = c_{1, \sigma_1} c_{2, \sigma_2} \cdots c_{k, \sigma_k}$  for  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ .

On the other hand, for any  $t > s$ , there exists a Borel measure  $\mu$  satisfying (1) by Lemma 1. Let  $\mu(B(S_\tau(x), \frac{1}{2} b_i \varepsilon))$  be the minimum of  $\{\mu(B(S_\sigma(x), \frac{1}{2} b_i \varepsilon))\}_{\sigma \in \Delta_i}$ . Then for any  $\sigma \in \Delta_i$ , we have

$$U(S_\sigma(x), \varepsilon c_\sigma) \subset U(S_\tau(x), b_i + |I_\tau| + \max_{\sigma \in \Delta_i}(|I_\sigma| + \varepsilon c_\sigma)) \subset U(S_\tau(x), (3 + \varepsilon) b_i). \tag{3}$$

Using eq. (1) and the definitions of  $A(U_i)$  and  $D(U_i, k)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D(U_i, k)} |I_\sigma|^{\beta t} &\leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D(U_i, k)} M_k^{\beta t} < \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D(U_i, k)} d_k^t \\ &< \frac{1}{\mu\left(B\left(S_\tau(x), \frac{1}{2} b_i \varepsilon\right)\right)} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D(U_i, k)} d_k^t \mu\left(B\left(S_\sigma(x), \frac{1}{2} b_i \varepsilon\right)\right) \\ &< \frac{c}{\mu\left(B\left(S_\tau(x), \frac{1}{2} b_i \varepsilon\right)\right)} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D(U_i, k)} \mu\left(B\left(S_\sigma(x), \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon c_\sigma\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \frac{c}{\mu\left(B\left(S_\tau(x), \frac{1}{2}b_i\epsilon\right)\right)} \mu(B(S_\tau(x), (3+\epsilon)b_i)) \\
&< c^2(6+2\epsilon)^t \epsilon^{-t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_i} |I_\sigma|^{\alpha+\beta t} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D(U_i, k)} |I_\sigma|^\alpha |I_\sigma|^{\beta t} \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D(U_i, k)} |U_i|^\alpha |I_\sigma|^{\beta t} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in D(U_i, k)} |I_\sigma|^{\beta t} \right) |U_i|^\alpha \\
&< c^2(6+2\epsilon)^t \epsilon^{-t} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |U_i|^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that  $\{A(U_i)\}_{i \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}$  is a  $\delta$ -covering of  $E$ , and choosing  $p = c^2(6+2\epsilon)^t \epsilon^{-t}$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) \geq p \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha+\beta t}(E)$ . Thus, the proof of Lemma 2 is completed.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\dim_{\mathcal{F}} E$  be the Hausdorff dimension of  $E$  induced by  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(E)$ . Then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{F}}(E) - vs \leq \dim E \leq \dim_{\mathcal{F}} E.$$

*Proof.* The second part of the inequality is obvious. Now let  $\dim E < \alpha$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^\alpha(E) = 0$ . From Lemma 2 it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{F}} E \leq \alpha + \beta t,$$

for any  $\beta > v$ , and  $t > s$ . Since  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $t$  are arbitrary, we have  $\dim E \geq \dim_{\mathcal{F}} E - vs$ . So the proof of Corollary 1 is completed.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Using the net measure methods in ref. [3], we have  $\dim_{\mathcal{F}} E = s_*$ . By Corollary 1, the result of Theorem 1 can be immediately obtained. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $X$  be the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space, and  $E \in \mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$ . If  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg d_k}{\lg M_k} = 0$ , then  $\dim E = s_*$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $A \subset X$ . The upper box dimension is defined by<sup>[7]</sup>

$$\Delta(A) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lg N_r(A)}{-\lg r},$$

where  $N_r(A)$  is the minimum number of closed balls of diameter  $r$  covered  $A$ . Denote by  $\text{Dim}(A)$  the packing dimension induced by the radius-based packing measure. Then<sup>[7]</sup>

$$\text{Dim}(A) = \inf\{\sup_i \Delta(A_i), A \subset \bigcup A_i\}.$$

Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$ , and for any  $F \subset E$ , put

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(F) = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \sum_i |A_i|^\alpha : \{A_i\} \subset \mathcal{F}, A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \right. \\ \left. i \neq j, A_i \cap \bar{F} \neq \emptyset, 0 < |A_i| < \delta \right\},$$

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(E) = \inf\left\{ \sum_i P_{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(F_i) : E \subset \bigcup F_i \right\},$$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(E) = \inf\{\alpha : P_{\mathcal{F}}^\alpha(E) = 0\}.$$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $X$  be the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space,  $E \in \mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$ . Then

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(E) \leq \Delta(E).$$

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of the lemma in ref. [4], we have

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(E) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lg M_r}{-\lg r}, \quad (5)$$

where  $M_r$  is the maximum number of the sets  $\{U\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  that are not pairwise overlap and  $\text{diam}(U) \in \left(\frac{r}{2}, r\right)$ .

Let  $N_r$  be the minimum number of the closed balls of diameters  $r$  covered  $E$ , and let  $V_1, \dots, V_{N_r}$  be such closed balls. Similarly, suppose that  $A_1, \dots, A_{M_r}$  are such sets  $\{U\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  that are not pairwise overlap and  $\text{diam}(U) \in \left(\frac{r}{2}, r\right)$ . For each  $A_j (j = 1, \dots, M_r)$ , there exists  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) \in D_k$  such that  $A_j = S_\sigma(I)$ , and

$$\frac{r}{2} \leq c_\sigma \leq r.$$

Since  $I \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $x \in I$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $U(x, \varepsilon) \subset I$ , and  $S_\sigma(U(x, \varepsilon)) = U(S_\sigma(x), \varepsilon c_\sigma) \supset U\left(S_\sigma(x), \frac{r}{2}\varepsilon\right)$ . The fact that  $A_j \cap E \neq \emptyset$  implies that  $A_j$  must intersect some  $V_k$ . Let

$y_k$  be the centers of the closed balls  $V_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, N_r$ . If  $A_j$  intersects  $V_k$ , then  $A_j \subset B(y_k, 2r)$ , and

$$U(S_\sigma(x), \frac{\varepsilon}{2}r) \subset B(y_k, 2r).$$

Put

$$\Delta(V_k) = \{A_j : A_j \cap V_k \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If  $A_i, A_j \in \Delta(V_k)$ , and  $i \neq j$ , then there exist  $\sigma \neq \tau$  such that  $S_\sigma(I) = A_i$ ,  $S_\tau(I) = A_j$ . By the above argument and  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ , we have

$$\text{dist}(S_\sigma(x), S_\tau(x)) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}r. \quad (6)$$

Since  $X$  is  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous, the closed ball  $B(y_k, 2r)$  contains at most  $C\left(\frac{4}{\varepsilon}\right)^s$  points with mutual distances at least  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}r$ ; thus

$$\# \Delta(V_k) \leq C\left(\frac{4}{\varepsilon}\right)^s.$$

Therefore

$$M_r \leq C\left(\frac{4}{\varepsilon}\right)^s N_r. \quad (7)$$

From eqs. (5) and (7) we have

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(E) \leq \Delta(E).$$

Thus, the proof of Lemma 3 is completed.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $\alpha < s^*$ ; then there exists the infinite number of positive integers  $k \in N$ , such that  $\alpha < s_k$ . Thus  $\sum_{\sigma \in D_k} |I_\sigma|^\alpha \geq |I|^\alpha > 0$ . By Lemma 3 we get

$$\Delta(E) \geq \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(E) \geq s^*. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, let  $\alpha > s^*$ ; then there exists  $k_0 > 0$  such that  $s_k < \alpha$  for any  $k > k_0$ . For  $0 < r < 1$ , put  $Q = \{\sigma \in D : |I_\sigma| \leq r < |I_\sigma|^\alpha\}$ . By the proof of theorem in ref. [4], we have

$$\sum_{\sigma \in Q} |I_\sigma|^\alpha \leq |I|^\alpha. \quad (9)$$

Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  be the maximum and minimum of the lengths of elements in  $Q$  respectively, and put  $d_p = \min\{d_k : k_2 \leq k \leq k_1\}$ . From (9) we have  $\text{Card} Q \leq (d_p r)^{-\alpha} |I|^\alpha$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E) &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lg(d_p r)^{-\alpha} |I|^\alpha}{-\lg r} = \alpha \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \left(1 + \frac{\lg d_p}{\lg r}\right) \\ &\leq \alpha \left(1 + \limsup_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lg d_k}{\lg M_{k-1}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From eqs. (8) and (10),

$$s^* \leq \Delta(E) \leq s^*(1 + \eta).$$

By Lemma 3.3 in ref. [8] we get

$$s^* \leq \text{Dim}E \leq s^*(1 + \eta).$$

By Lemma 3.3 in ref. [8] we get

$$\text{Dim}(E) = \Delta(E);$$

thus

$$s^* \leq \text{Dim}(E) \leq s^*(1 + \eta).$$

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $X$  be the  $(C, s)$ -homogeneous space, and  $E \in \mathcal{M}(I, \{n_k\}, \{c_{k,j}\})$ . If  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lg d_k}{\lg M_{k-1}} = 0$ , then  $\text{Dim}E = s^*$ .*

## References

- 1 Rao, H., Wen, Z. Y., Wu, J., Net measure properties of Moran sets and applications, *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 1998, 43(5): 386.
- 2 Feng, D. J., Wen, Z. Y., Wu, J., Dimensions of the homogeneous Moran sets, *Science in China, Series A*, 1997, 40(5): 475.
- 3 Hua, S., Dimensions for generalized Moran sets, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica* 1994, 17(14): 551.
- 4 Hua, S., Li, W. X., Packing dimensions of generalized Moran sets, *Progress in Natural Science (in Chinese)*, 1995, 5(6): 677.
- 5 Schief, A., Self-similar sets in complete metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1996, 124(2): 481.
- 6 Luukkainen, J., Saksman, E., Every complete doubling metric space carries a doubling measure, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1998, 126(2): 531.
- 7 Cutler, C. D., The density theorem and Hausdorff inequality for packing measure in general metric spaces, *Illinois J. of Math.*, 1995, 39(4): 676.
- 8 Mattila, P., Measure and dimension functions: measurability and densities, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 1997, 121(8): 81.